Update on Progress, Success Measures and Outcomes

NB - Key to abbreviations at end of document

Ref	Concordat summary	Concordat principle	Actions, success measures, timescale and responsibility	Update on Progress, Success Measures and Outcomes
1	Online PDR System:	1	1	1
1.1	B3 Appraisal & Performance Management (revised from 2017-19 plan to focus on actual PDR not just pilot, with extended deadline)	Principle 2: Recognition & Value	Action: Gather feedback from research staff ¹ , PIs ² and HoDs ³ on new PDR ⁴ process. Success measure: Feedback obtained from 80% of research staff who receive a PDR in current cycle. Capture qualitative data on experience of the new PDR process from research staff, PIs and HoDs on new PDR process. Timescale: Report Q1 2020. Responsibility: Dep. Dir. HR&OD. Amended action - As 80% feedback was not achieved, feedback was requested from Research Staff and Researchers' fora for qualitative feedback. Timescale - Feb '21 Responsibility - LR (RIS) and Fora convenors	Update on Progress After the first round of the new PDR system (2019/20), feedback was gathered through separate focus groups with Research Staff and Readers/Professors. Although we were not able to meet the 80% target, we gained valuable insight from the focus groups, which fed into a wider report submitted to the University Executive Board Jan 2020. This then resulted in some technical improvements to the PDR system to improve navigation, and flow, as well as new embedded guidance for some fields. Delays to this report, and the subsequent pandemic have prevented some of the remaining recommendations being acted upon. The PDR completion rate for Research Staff (RS) was 61% in 2019/20 and 51% in 2020/21. PDR completion for all staff was 79% and 70% in 2019/20, 2020/21 respectively. The drop in completion rate for RS and all staff is thought to be related to the additional pressures

¹ By 'research staff' we mean research staff on fixed term or hourly paid contracts

² Principal Investigators

³ Head of Department

⁴ Performance and Development Review

			of working during the pandemic, even though the PDR window was extended by 3 months. In order to capture feedback from the 2020/21 cohort we ran a 'pulse survey' in Dec 2020 for any RS to complete based on the second year of the new PDR process. There was 15% response to this survey (cohort size 136). In addition, there was a discussion held in the Research Staff Forum meeting on 10 Dec which identified further recommendations for improvements. We ran CEDARS in a short window in April/May 2021, with some reservations around 'pandemic fatigue'. We achieved a 15% response rate for RS, and a 7% for academics (incl. PIs & HoDS). A total of 70% of RS reported having a PDR (review) in the past 2 yrs (Q21) and 91% of academics. Only 57% reported the review as useful, with 34% saying wasn't useful. 50% of academics found it useful, but 48% thought it wasn't. We have made PDR a priority focus for our next 2 yrs in the new Concordat Action plan, and will build on what we have learned and progress made in this current cycle. Outcome PARTIALLY ACHIEVED – further development and improvements to PDR process and experience for Research Staff will be carried forward as part of actions 5 and 6 in the new action plan, under the University's Priority 2.
1.2	B3 Appraisal & Performance Management	Action: Gather feedback from research staff leaving the institution.	Update on Progress

Principle 2: Recognition & Value	Success measure: Exit interviews undertaken with two thirds of research staff ¹ leaving the institution using a revised approach to exit interviews. Timescale: by end Q3 2021. Responsibility: PIs, HoDs, HR. NB: Action arose through focus group with research staff held in May 2019.	 In seeking to implement this action we found that low facilitation of exit interviews was an issue that extended beyond the research staff community. Furthermore, a pulse survey carried out December 2020 identified that only 1 out of 20 respondents who had moved from one internal post to another had had an exit interview. Seeking to understand the barriers and challenges we sought areas where it was working, with a view for this to be good practice to share. For example, the Faculty of Business and Law report that exit Interviews are routinely undertaken. Contract research staff on internally-funded projects have an exit interview with the ADR and the relevant research lead which focuses on identifying ways in which the Faculty can help the researcher complete projects detailed in their existing research pipeline. This complements the exit interview held with/by the Subject Group line manager, in line with UP HR processes. Furthermore, the Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation (ICG) operate a system for their research staff; exit interviews are carried out with all Research Staff; in particular: Interviews are carried out face to face (online since COVID 19) by a PostDoc officer (member of staff) Record of responses kept but no names included - all anonymised Anonymised summary of feedback goes to the chair of the Athena Swan committee in the ICG as well as the ICG director.
		included in the PI guide.

2	Careers and progression for	research staff		As exit interview data is not centralised, this action has been difficult to progress. However, we will carry forward a revised action into our new action plan as part of our institutional Priority 4 around future careers, learning from the good practice identified in the current cycle and aiming to build on this good practice. Outcome NOT ACHIEVED - Exit interviews will be included in new action plan under Action 15 (University's Priority 4)
	B5 PIs aware of		Actions:	
2.1		Principle 2, 3		Update on Progress:
	responsibilities	& 4:	1. Develop and disseminate guide for	1. Pl Guide was produced (in line with the old
	and	Recognition	Pls that refers to their responsibilities	Concordat) and disseminated via a <u>webpage</u> .
	D8 PIs encourage	& Value and	and signposts to the support and	Content was then updated in line with the new
	researchers to attend	Support and	development opportunities that are	Concordat. However, input received through
	training & career	Career	available for research staff to support	consultation has extended far beyond
	development events & courses	Developmen t	them in encouraging research staff to develop their careers.	supporting career development and this guide/webpage now forms part of a larger
	courses	L	2. Provide training sessions relating to	resource to support PIs in supporting their
	(carried forward from 2017-		managing research staff for PIs to	Research Staff in many areas, including,-
	19 plan with extended		accompany guide.	induction, wellbeing, access to support
	deadline)		accompany guide.	networks and fora etc. This expanded webpage
			Success measures:	was launched through Research and Innovation
			A. Guide is delivered to 100% of PIs	Services newsletter (March '21) and blog (April
			when they are recruiting a new	'21)
			member of research staff - to go into	This webpage will be monitored and further
			induction pack of new academic staff	developed as more resources are produced in
			and new research staff. Also RIS to	line with new action plan actions and priorities.
			take to staff inductions and request	2. Training sessions were postponed due to COVID
			that HR add to induction packs.	situation and the updating of the guide to the

	B. See 20% increase in CROS ⁵ question	new Concordat. The first online session was
	23.1a "you are encouraged to engage	delivered to the Profs' Forum on 10.3.21, and
	in personal and career	other sessions will be scheduled.
	development?" (CROS 2019 76%	
	agreed or strongly agreed) & Q. 15.5a	Success Measures
	"was the appraisal effective in leading	A. There is a move away from physical (hard copy)
	to training or other containing	induction packs - All PIs will be signposted to the
	professional development	new PI webpage and guidance will also be
	opportunities". (CROS 2019 50%	included in the online induction website being
	useful or very useful).	created. (see action 2.2)
		B. CEDARS now replaces CROS. Equivalent CEDARS
	Timescale: Q2 2020 (& CEDARS 2021).	questions and results from May '21:
		 Q. 30.1a 93% agreed or strongly agreed that
	Responsibility: RIS to develop guide. RIS to	their line manager encourages them to engage
	monitor researcher feedback and CROS data.	in personal and career development activities.
	HR Service Centre Manager to deliver to PIs	(22% increase on CROS 2019)
		• Q. 21.a How useful did you find your staff
	(75.6% score in CROS 2019 for Q23 - You are	review/appraisal? 57% of RS said it was useful or
	encouraged to engage in personal or career	very useful. (NB There was a slight change in
	development.).	wording of the question in CEDARS 2021 from
		the CROS 2019 question).
		We also carried out a pulse survey in December 2020 to
		all Research Staff: (20/136 respondents) to explore CPD
		and PDR.
		• Q. Have you accessed any of the following
		development opportunities in your time at UoP?
		17/20 had accessed training opportunities
1		(85%)

⁵ Careers Research Online Survey run by Vitae.

				 Q. Rate your experience of the PDR process from 1 (not helpful) to 5 (extremely helpful) n=18 1 - 11%; 2 - 11%; 3 - 50%; 4 - 22%; 5 - 6% The Pulse survey indicates that 85% of Research Staff (RS) have participated in some form of training. It also indicated that 22% of RS found the PDR process to be not helpful (compared to 50% in CROS 2019), but half RS were ambivalent (50%)and only 28% found it to be helpful). Outcome PARTIALLY ACHIEVED - this will be built upon in the new action plan specifically under actions 1, 4, 5, 9, 11,
2.2	B5 PIs aware of responsibilities and D8 PIs encourage researchers to attend training & career development events & courses	Principle 2, 3 & 4: Recognition & Value and Support and Career Developmen t	Action: Review University's Handbook for Research Staff. Success measure: Fit for purpose handbook is agreed, and disseminated. Timescale: By Q3/4 2021. Responsibility: HR (Supported by CIG ⁶).	and 15.Update on ProgressThe handbook (that outlined legal rights and responsibilities) was out-of-date and due for review. The review has been delayed due to inclusion in a wider and executive-led review of academic roles and structures, which is currently underway (May '21). The contractual elements of the handbook regarding their rights and responsibilities are covered in the contract information sent to new Research Staff by HR as part of their 'onboarding'.We therefore amended the action and focused instead on a new_Induction for Research Staff Website - a specific request from a review carried out with

⁶ Concordat Implementation Group

				researchers during 2019/2020. Structure and content of this website has been based on feedback from consultation with research staff, PIs/managers and additional content will be added in response to requests/needs as they arise. As with the PI guide, content goes beyond, but does still include, support for career development. It will contain specific support and
				guidance for our Research Staff.
				CEDARS 2021 33% RS found institution induction useful 33% not very useful 16% not offered 16% offered but not taken. 58% RS found Faculty/departmental induction useful 8% not useful 33% not offered.
				CEDARS results show that our priority to develop and improve induction within the new action plan is required.
				Outcome
				AMENDED ACTION ON TRACK - and will be carried forward under new actions 3 and 4.
2.3				
	B12 Promotion	Principle 2, 3	Action 1 : Review of fitness for purpose of	Update on Progress
	opportunities transparent and communicated	& 4: Recognition	standard job specifications & review guidance around promotion, re-grading and	Action 1 update
		& Value and	progression to ensure that it is accessible to	At the outset of this period the standard job
		Support and Career	research staff. Conduct a University level (rather than individual level) review to ensure	specifications only cover grades 4 to 8, not grade 9. Data analysed as part of 6 year review (April 2019), shows

	Developmen	that research staff duties are commensurate	that there is some, but limited, progression and
	t '	with grade e.g. dipstick text of role	promotion.
		holders/role descriptors to ensure fit. Review	
		qualifications vs grade level trends over years.	A job specification has been created for Grade 9
			research staff and is published on the intranet, this
		Success measure: Fit for purpose job	provides for a more explicit extended potential career
		specification that covers the full range of	path for research-only staff. However, a more holistic
		progression available and accessible to	review of job specifications, grading, promotion and
		research staff. Duties are commensurate with	progression for research staff has been overtaken by the
		grade.	executive led review of academic roles and structures
			mentioned earlier.
		Timescale: by Q4 2020.	mendonea editer.
			Action 1 Outcome
		Responsibility: HR (Supported by CIG).	ACTION PARTIALLY ACHIEVED - job grading review will
		Responsioners. The (supported by clo).	be carried forward under whole institution review of
		Action 2: Further analyse data on research	structures.
		progression and internal moves to better	
		understand what this means for research staff	Action 2 update
		and identify subsequent actions as required	An anonymised dataset including any employee who
		to improve outcomes for research staff.	had had a research-only contract at some stage during
			that period (N=360) whether it be on a casual/hourly-
		Success measure: Completion of analysis and	paid, fixed term or permanent basis during the period
		identification of actions	Oct 2009 to November 2020 was collated and analysed .
			The analysis indicated the following:
		Timescale: Q 3 2021	- the distribution profile of grades showed a slight
			difference between male and female staff, with
		Responsibility: CIG.	the grade distribution for males slightly higher
			than that for females - the most populous grade
			for males was Grade 8, and for females Grade 7.
			- there was no significant difference in grade
			profile in terms of ethnicity (white/BAME; the
			volume of data did not support a more granular
			analysis)
L			anaiyoioj

 (52%). 8% of this group had a disclosed disable (compared to the University figure for acader and research (A&R) staff of 11.8%). 77.7% reported as white ethnicity, compared to 74. for current University figures for A&R roles. Research Staff gaining a permanent role are most likely to have progressed to higher grad (only 14% occupied only 1 grade during their employ, however, non-permanent staff the majority (60% remained on the same grade throughout their employ no matter if they he multiple different contracts/roles. A total of 39% of Research Staff having a 				 difference between males (48%) and females (52%). 8% of this group had a disclosed disability (compared to the University figure for academic and research (A&R) staff of 11.8%). 77.7% reported as white ethnicity, compared to 74.6% for current University figures for A&R roles. Research Staff gaining a permanent role are most likely to have progressed to higher grades (only 14% occupied only 1 grade during their employ, however, non-permanent staff the majority (60% remained on the same grade throughout their employ no matter if they held multiple different contracts/roles. A total of 39% of Research Staff having a permanent role at some stage started out at
--	--	--	--	--

				Action 2 Outcome ACTION ON TARGET findings have fed into the gap analysis against the new Concordat and will be fed into the next RDG meeting to identify recommendations.
2.4	C14: Mentoring arrangements should be supported by employers as a key mechanism for career development and enhancement.	PRINCIPLE 4 The importance of researchers' personal and career development , and lifelong learning, is clearly recognised and promoted at all stages of their career.	Action: Conduct mapping of key University processes/documents where there is potential to add a question/prompt around support for mentoring and/or support for the career development of researchers, to consider, for example: Internal funding criteria/processes (Central and Faculty led), Intention to Apply process for external bidding, Centres and Institutes Policy, standard University Terms and Conditions for grants that employ research staff. Success measure: CROS question 24; see at least a 20% increase in positive responses to this question by 2021. (24.15a In which areas have you undertaken, or would you like to undertake, training and other continuing professional development activities - Being Mentored - 20% undertaken, 54% Not undertaken but I would like to) Timescale: Actions to begin academic year 2019/20. Data collected CROS 2021.	 Update on Progress The following processes/documents were reviewed: Intention to apply process Initial and Continuing Professional Development Policy Recruitment and Selection Policy Staff Induction Checklist Centres and Institutes Policy RIS TRIF programme Faculty internal funding - this came from discussion with ADR for Faculty of Business and Law (BaL) Standard Ts and Cs for grants that employ research staff (No standard Ts and Cs for grants -they are all bespoke). Recommendations resulting from review have been detailed and action prioritised for the next 12 months identified under the auspices of the Researcher Development Group. Recommendations have been shared with relevant 'owners'. The first recommendation - creation of an induction website for researchers has been completed. Other actions are in train e.g. request for new field/checklist about

			Responsibility: RIS/Faculties/Finance (Supported by CIG).	mentoring/career development to CRM system for bid process is on the schedule for discussion by the Community of Practice that prioritises CRM changes. Success measure The original success measure was linked to CROS Q24, yet, there is not a directly equivalent question in CEDARS. However, we are able to interpolate between the two surveys, to get an indication of the direction of travel, and to support the career development Priority 4 within the next action plan. In addition, good practice was identified in the Faculty of Business and Law where formal mentoring and professional development support for research staff is embedded into their processes and Research Staff get the same rights as other staff in bidding for funds/conferences, policy, allowances etc. Outcome ACTION ACHIEVED Career development will be carried forward in the new action plan under actions 11,12,13,14,16.
2.5	C14: Mentoring arrangements should be supported by employers as a key mechanism for career development and enhancement.	PRINCIPLE 4 The importance of researchers' personal and career development	Action: Build specific strand for researcher development into the Themes initiative: a. including successful delivery of TRIF Fellows scheme b. PIs of TRIF project are mentored (and support for career development of research staff is an explicit part of the mentoring).	 Update on Progress TRIF fellows scheme has been delivered to 12 individuals - 7 development sessions were delivered, 1 session cancelled due to Covid. All fellows have also been offered mentoring and up to 4 hours of external executive coaching alongside the 7 days of training. (Coaching was an additional element included in the

, and lifelong learning, is clearly recognised and promoted at all stages of their career.	Success measure: a. 80% of TRIF fellows have achieved their stated objectives. b. 100% PIs report in final TRIF project reports that they have received mentoring, and where appropriate, where they have supported their research staff. Timescale: Q4 2021 Responsibility: Theme Directors (to liaise with ADRs as appropriate).	 programme to provide even greater support for the fellows.) The programme completes at the end of this academic year. An interim survey of the fellows was conducted to ascertain progress against objectives (10 of 12 fellows responded.) 100% state objectives partially met - feedback states funding was withdrawn due to covid so this would have an impact on this. 70% accessed at least one coaching session. 50% benefitted from structured mentoring or informal support. In addition, fellows provided qualitative feedback on the coaching e.g. <i>"The coaching sessions have been outstanding. While the group training sessions have been outstanding. While the group training applications and project management, the one-to-one academic coaching was (and still is) a highlight. Having this every few months has really helped me assess where I am at and focus on my coming goals."</i> D. TRIF project funding was awarded to 19 individuals (20 projects in total). 50% of Pls benefitted from structured mentoring or
		individuals (20 projects in total). 50% of PIs

				Researcher development has been built into new Research Leadership cohort programmes that were launched October 2020 - both programmes have a day entitled 'Working With and Developing Others', where specific reference is made to the concordat. Lessons learned in the TRIF programme fed into the planning of these new programmes as part of routine discussions between the Director of CPD and the officer coordinating the TRIF programme. In addition the University has launched its new mentoring system 'Qooper', which was not an explicit action of the Concordat action plan, but is nonetheless supportive of its aims. We will monitor uptake of Qooper by Research Staff - at present ~10% of Research have registered with the system.
				ON TARGET WITH PARTIAL SUCCESS. Mentoring will be
2		a a a mala at aff		carried forward in Action 3 of new action plan
3	Valuing the contribution of r	esearch staff		
3.1	B1 Employers are encouraged to value and afford equal treatment to all researchers, regardless of whether they are	Principle 2 Researchers are recognised and valued	Action: to increase public presence of research staff. Success measure: Total of 10 RS stories in 2019/20	Update on Progress To support confidence in public engagement, Research Staff have been offered training and opportunity for media engagement through regular liaison with the Media and Communications team.
	employed on a fixed term or similar contract.	by their employing organisation as an essential part	 website RIS blog At least 3 articles in The Conversation written by research staff in 2019/20. 	 Training offered to Research Staff includes: Media training - The Press and Media team offer regular media training provided by experienced external media training professionals

of their	At least 1 research staff member longlisted	throughout the year. The half and full-day
organisation	for vice-chancellor's award.	sessions put academics into interview scenarios,
s human		which are recorded allowing the trainer to
resources	Timescale: by end Q2 2020.	provide feedback and analysis to help hone
and a key		media interview skills and experience.
component	Responsibility: Media and Communications	 The University has a close relationship with the
of their	team (supported by Researchers' Net,	news and opinion website, The Conversation,
overall	Research Staff Forum).	where academics write articles on current news
strategy to		and topics for a global, non-scientific audience.
develop and		As part of the relationship, the Press and Media
deliver		team arrange for different editors from The
world-class		Conversation to come to the University (3 or 4
research.		times a year) to give a practical workshop about
		who they are and giving researchers the
		opportunity to pitch article ideas.
		The Press and Media team also give informal
		presentations about The Conversation
		throughout the year.
		10 members of Research Staff accessed Media training
		during 2019/2020.
		In the past two years research staff have been prolific
		contributors to The Conversation, tripling the rate of
		contribution compared to the prior 6 years, and
		exceeding the target for 2019/20.
		Success measure:
		30 articles written in The Conversation between 2012-
		2020.
		 2012-2018 = 15 articles
		• $2012-2018 - 15$ articles • $2018/19 = 9$ articles
		• 2019/20 = 6 articles (double the target number)

			Since July 2019 there have been 19 items featuring research staff on the University website , including news, blogs, webinars, podcasts. Only 1 blog on the Research and Innovation Services site was written by a member of Research Staff in 2019/20. Other blogs have raised the profile of the Concordat or Research Staff issues but weren't written by Research Staff themselves. One member of Research Staff was long-listed for a VC award in 2019/20. Outcome ACHIEVED
D3 Researchers aware of the need for ethical behaviour	Principle 3, 4, 5 support and career development	 Action: To increase the number of research staff who have received Responsible Conduct of Research training. Through more targeted communication and involving PIs. Success measure: Improved attendance figures (20% of cohort 2019/20 = 27 research staff). Timescale: Q3 2020. Responsibility: RIS / Senior Ethics Advisor, PIs. 	Update on Progress Responsible Conduct of Research training sits within a wider training programme - Research and Innovation Staff Development Programme (RISDP). During the period of this action plan, a comprehensive review of the whole RISDP programme was carried out and a new approach to communicating training initiated. The content of Responsible Conduct of Research training was also reviewed, and changes made to how it was delivered. We now have 3 different mechanisms - through 'Applying to Ethics Committee', and' Introduction to Good Clinical Practice in Clinical Research' workshops and online 'Ethics, Integrity and

	NB: In 2018/19 - 6 research staff accessed the	Governance training' through 13 new modules on the
	training.	University's VLE site, moodle.
		The following sessions were delivered during 2019/2020
		(NB - 2 training sessions due to be delivered in March
		and June 2020 were cancelled due to COVID):
		 Applying to an Ethics Committee - 22.10.19,
		10.12.19, 21.01.20 (No Research Staff attended
		any of these sessions)
		Introduction to Good Clinical Practice in Clinical
		Research 19.2.20 (2 Research Staff attended)
		• 2 members of Research Staff have accessed at
		least one of the online moodle modules.
		 In total - 4 Research Staff accessed
		ethics/integrity training in 2019/2020.
		In 2020/21 to date:
		 15 Research Staff have participated in a relevant
		session:
		 Applying to an Ethics Committee - 7.12.20 (4
		research staff), 16.3.21 (1 Research Staff)
		 A specific session promoted by the Research
		Staff forum and dedicated to RS ran 5th Feb.
		2021 (10 Research Staff attended).
		 One further general session is planned for June.
		• One further general session is planned for suite.
		Based on feedback received from representatives of the
		research community, there are a number of possible
		reasons why the take up onto ethics training specifically
		could be low:
		 in certain subject areas (eg Science and Usetth (Creative and Cultural Industries)
		Health/Creative and Cultural Industries)
		research ethics is 'drilled in' from first year of
		under graduate through PhD and as staff.

	 need any more training especially when 'they would have had a wealth of experience with applying for research ethics to get to that point in their career.' Ethics is 'inbuilt'. with the push for open science, transparency and reproducibility, a lot of research integrity and best practices are taught early on. Research Staffs are 'self-taught' when required, rather than waiting to access formal training when it is delivered Research Staff often do not lead projects, therefore their 'ownership' in framing research ethics and integrity is limited. The individual researcher does not always recognise their responsibility in this. PIs have a role here in promoting this. Time is limited to access anything beyond their immediate contractual responsibilities. Further context: To note, of all the RISDP sessions delivered during 2019/2020, Research Staff made up 10% of all attendees (n = 49, 36% of the RS cohort) Research Staff attended a RISDP training session. Outcome NOT ACHIEVED Following extensive exploration of the area, and an improved offering and communication of the training offer we have dired to ciden to icknown.
	offer we have decided to close this action. We believe the conditions that led to the creation of this action in previous years have changed. Monitoring of uptake for training specific to research integrity and misconduct

				under the new offer will be subject to routine monitoring with RISDP. Also, focus in the next action plan on embedding the 10 days for professional development will ensure that research staff have the time and support of their PIs to participate in training as required.
3.3	D5 Researchers should recognise that the primary responsibility for managing and pursuing their career is theirs.	Principle 3, 4, 5 support and career development	Action: More targeted promotion of development opportunities to PIs as well as research staff. Success measure: 80% of research staff engaging with some form of development activity during their employment. 30% within first 6 months of joining the University. Monitor research staff attendance at training events and CROS questions. Timescale: Q3 2020. Responsibility: RIS/Associate Deans' Research (ADRs).	 Update on Progress Research Staff participation in development was sampled using a pulse survey in December 2020, (20 responses - 15% of cohort, 19 respondents joined previous to January 2020, 1 joined 1.1.20-30.6.20): 17/20 (85% of respondents) had accessed some form of development activity during 19/20 16/20 - attended a training course/event 12/20 conference 11/20 - self directed learning 8/20 KE activity 6/20 Public engagement 4/20 experiential learning 3/20 mentoring scheme 2/20 policy development 1/20 scholarship Job shadowing/exchanges = 0 In CEDARS 2021 we set an institutional question (Q.46) around development that referred more explicitly to a broader interpretation of development, embracing formal/informal and experiential forms of development. In this question:

 75% of academic staff reported undertaking 5 or more days, and 43.3% 10 or more days in the past year. Research Staff reported 52% undertaking 5 or more days, and only 38.1% with 10 or more days in the past year. Total Research Staff undertaking some form of development in last 12 months = 86% (CEDARS May '21) Total PIs undertaking some form of development activity in last 12 months = 97% (CEDARS May '21)
Additional information: We now have a RISDP Google Currents group and a new Research and Innovation Staff Development (RISDP) webpage. In 19/20, 10% of attendees at a RISDP training event were Research Staff (RS) - equating to 49 individuals, 36% of the total number of RS. (Important to note that there are many other development opportunities taken up by RS not covered here - eg, institutional mandatory training, that which is delivered by other professional services, informal, locally delivered support, mentoring and more.)
Outcome ACHIEVED IN PART Whilst the overall picture for Research Staff accessing development is positive, we cannot be certain from the data that those starting the University are accessing development within 6 months of joining. However, we

				are focusing on Induction under Priority 3 in our new Action Plan.
3.4	B1 Employers are encouraged to value and afford equal treatment to all researchers, regardless of whether they are employed on a fixed term or similar contract.	Principle 2 Researchers are recognised and valued by their employing organisation as an essential part of their organisation' s human resources and a key component of their overall strategy to develop and deliver world-class research.	 Action: Build in requirement for a number of days* for researcher development time into internal funding schemes (to include academic citizenship). Success measure: All internal research funding schemes adopt the 10 day rule. (20% increase in CROS Q. 25 "time spent on training and/or CPD".) Timescale: Q2 2021 (to be aligned with new Concordat). Responsibility: ADRs / Theme Directors. 	Update on ProgressAgreement has been made by ADRs, Theme Directorsand RIS that internal research funding schemescommencing AY 2021/22 will include the '10 day rule'(pro rata) for development time to be allocated toResearch Staff. This will be monitored at the ResearcherDevelopment Group and through the Faculty Researchand Innovation Committees.The following definition (agreed by representatives ofthe Research Staff community and the ResearcherDevelopment Group) will be used as a guide to informPls and Research Staff regards what activity can becounted towards these 10 days:Activities counted towards the 10 days of Research Staffdevelopment time (pro-rata), where they are not a corepart of the researcher's role, may include the following:Self-directed LearningJob ShadowingExchangesConferencesTaking part in a mentoring scheme (as mentoror mentee)Committee membershipParticipating in policy developmentPublic engagementKnowledge exchange activitiesAttending a training course, workshop orwebinar

				ScholarshipNB - this is not a definitive list, nor a list of required activity, and all these activities will necessarily be available to all Research Staff. The emphasis is that the University/PIs/Managers of Research Staff are providing Research Staff with the opportunity to have this time for their development. Research Staff, in discussion with their line managers, will be expected to make their own judgements regarding what they count for their 10 days and will have the responsibility to record this appropriately.In CROS19, average time spent on professional development was 2-3 days. See 3.3 for 2021 data.Outcome ON TARGET (slightly delayed, implementation in 21/22) This action will be further developed as part of the new actions 1, 2, 3 and 4 (in our Priority Area 1.)
4	Researcher voice	1		
4.1	F1 procedures in place for regular review of the Concordat and the extent to which UoP meets it	Principle 7 Implementat ion and review	 Action: Gather feedback via CROS/PIRLS ⁷and monitor uptake. Success measure: Exceed CROS uptake for benchmark group. Timescale: CROS May 2019 & PIRLS equivalent Q4 2019. Responsibility: RIS. 	Update on Progress CROS was delivered in 2019. PIRLS equivalent was not run due to clash of timescales with a University wide survey running. Focus groups with academic staff were run to explore specific areas and were delivered instead. CEDARS now in place of CROS and PIRLS - was set up to run CEDARS in 2020 but this was postponed due to COVID-19. CEDARS ran April-May 2021.

⁷ Principal Investigators and Research Leads Survey run by Vitae.

				Pulse survey administered Dec 2020 had 20 Research Staff respondents (15% of cohort). Outcomes of this survey are being used to inform a range of actions within this action plan and for the next action plan. Research Staff Forum and Researchers Network have also carried out a number of exploration and feedback events. Success measure 22% uptake for CROS in 2019 15% of Research Staff Cohort responded in CEDARS 2021 Outcome NOT ACHIEVED We have had good engagement from the Research Staff Fora (see events) but the survey response has been below what we aimed for. This may be due to pandemic fatigue/survey fatigue, however, we will continue to
				address this through Priority area 5 in the new action plan (actions 1 and 2).
4.2	F2 Obtaining feedback from researchers	Principle 7 Implementat ion and review	 Action 1: Relaunch Research Staff Forum Success measure: Attendance of equivalent of 15% of research staff cohort over a 12-month period. Timescale: Q2 2020. Responsibility: PIs, HR, RIS & senior research staff (to also consult with ADRs and HoDs). 	Update on ProgressFollowing feedback from Researchers' Networkcolleagues, and review of data indicating a drop-off ofresearch staff engagement, the ConcordatImplementation Group (now known as ResearcherDevelopment group) re-launched the Research StaffForum and also revisited purpose and membership ofResearchers' Network.Researchers Network (RN) purpose and targetmembership reviewed and relaunch event held 25.2.20

Action 2: Revisit Researchers Network and agree purpose and target membership.	Research Staff Forum (RSF) relaunch event held 26.2.20
Success measure: Newly agreed purpose and	Convenors of fora have directly supported and used the community to support the following:
membership (in light of Research Staff Forum).	all 4 convenors are members of the Researcher Development Group
Timescale: Relaunch Q3 2019, first data end	 convenors have been part of working groups working on actions for this action plan
Q2 2020.	 convenors have supported dissemination of information and events via their fora
Responsibility: PIs, HR, RIS & senior research staff & current Researchers Network champions (consult with ADRs & HoDs).	 are growing a google+ community liaising and engaging externally with key bodies and organisations eg. UKRSA
	 have delivered a number of events, development sessions and network
NB - Definition of fora as follows: Research Staff Forum - for all Research Staff	opportunities.
Researchers' Network - for any Researchers up to Reader	The Researchers' Network and Research Staff Forum ran a series of online events with help and guidance for
(We also have Readers' and Profs' Fora)	researchers, including: - Bid preparation and how to get your first grants
	Promotion and career planningWork-life balance
	 Rights and responsibilities as a researcher Increasing your visibility (both online and
	offline) vital information every researcher at the university needs during their induction.
	Between Feb 2020 and end of April 2021 :
	9 events were delivered by the RSF/RN - with 196 attendees (some attendees attended multiple events).

	CEDARS Institutional Q. 45 asked how many respondents have engaged with their career stage forum. 67% of Research Staff stated they have engaged either through attending an event, networking session or through social media.
	Outcome ACHIEVED

Key:

ADR - Associate Dean Research

AY - Annual Year

- BaL Faculty of Business and Law
- CEDARS Culture, Employment and Development in Academic Research Survey
- CIG (read RDG) Concordat Implementation Group changed to Researcher Development Group since the action plan was approved
- **CPD** Continuing Professional Development
- CRM Customer Relationship Management system
- CROS Careers in Research Online Survey
- Dep.Dir.HR+OD Deputy Director Human Resources and Organisational Development

- HOD Head of Department
- HR Human Resources
- PDR Professional Development Review
- PI Principal Investigator
- PIRLS Principal Investigators and Research Leaders Survey
- RIS Research and Innovation Services
- RS Research Staff
- TDs Theme Directors
- TRIF Themes Research and Innovation Funding